Preserve

G.R.S. IX–5

Previous references.

Ho 49-09 (1-2) in
103-06 (1-6).
Gen: 42-22-1.

Later references.

Regn 20-22 (1-9)
Ag Dep no 390-26
Ho 135-28, 1-5
Ho 216-30, 1-3.

1922.

File No. 71-22

(Ho.)

Serial Nos. 1-8



GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

G 7 59-31-11



Serial Nos. 8

Part B for August 1923.

SUBJECT

Proposals for the reduction and revision of pay of the Ministerial establishment of the Judicial Department.

G.O. No. P 846-7 dt 11-8-23. To the Hon'ble Chief Secretary to the Government, Controller

Sanctioned

Maharaja Jaipur.

9/8/23

G. R. S. 11] 1922-3 1422 P

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(JS)

NOTES.

File No. 71.22

FILE HEADING.

Reduction of menial establishment in the judicial Department

From

The Registrar Lahore High Court

Dis No. 30 Dated 8.9.22 Received 11-9-22

Diary No. 1422	Enclos. nil Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that it is not possible to reduce the menial establishment in the Lahore Court and the Courts subordinate thereto without Causing disproportionate inconvenience and unduly hampering the work of the Courts for which they are given

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted for orders

12.9.22 (JS)

2) The Chief Court is of opinion that no retrenchment is possible so far as the Subordinate Courts are concerned there does not appear to be any scope for reduction

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No File No. 71 of 1922

1422/P

Serial No.

The number is short of requirements, and as apprehended, process servers have sometimes to be detailed for overlap work.

3) The number of orderlies allowed to the Judges of the Chief Court may look high; but when the shifts are taken into account, there will be two men only per shift + Bungalow is a city of distances. Any reduction is likely to end in inconvenience, besides depriving a number of people of their living.

Gren Sheep

Cur 14/9

1886
14.9.22

Second Member

14.9.22

Cur 14.9

4) Seen

5) Please submit when answer for perusal.

Hall 21/9

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Rect. on SEP 25 1922
No. 19874

Dewan

23/9/22

Flag J.

6) Respectfully forwarded. A.G.O. Cur 25/9

as the printing Medical Establishment has already issued

Dewan 7) May we consider these Orders are settled as Yes

Cur 25/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

1422/P

(3)

File No. 71 of 1922

Serial No.

B 26/9/22
Cor
27-9

8) The reference from the Dept Univ Court does not seem to have taken into account the general G.O. issued on 11th September 1922 submitted with a draft calling for their proposals in the light of the G.O. of the 11th September

9) It seems that some general proposals in respect of the pears of all Depts in accordance with the recent G.O have been formulated in the G.M Section & sent to the Special Officer. The draft letter proposed seems therefore unnecessary. We may perhaps wait for some time & see what orders are passed on the said proposals. It is likely that the proposals in respect of each Dept may be, after general approval, be sent to the Branches concerned for consulting the Heads of Depts & final orders.

Cor 9/10

10) It has now been ascertained that Statements prepared in the Office Branch have been sent to the respective Heads of Depts for remarks & the receipt of the Registrar Depts may be awaited

11) Yes Cor 25/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

2293
P

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G.S. File No. 71 of 1922-3

Serial No. 2

Jmr.

The Regr. letter cent
letter no Noc 1855 H dy 25.10.22
with reference to letter no 6 2070/Jm
4G-22-3 dy 10.10.1922 forwarding
provisional statements in the reduction of
medical establishment of the Judicial
Department, States, subsidiary appointments
as the same provisionally settled with slight
modifications

1) The Office Branch may be requested to
put up the letter with the statement
referred to in the Registrar's letter

3/11 13
4/11/22 To the Office Branch (Jm Section)
Letter & the Statement are sent up

8/11

To the Publicity Br.

5/11 Read

2) The Office branch have suggested a
reduction of 11 peons and the Registrar letter
Cent states that even according to the principle
enunciated only 10 peons can be reduced, as the
Deputy Registrar who is a gazetted officer requires
at least one orderly -

G. R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C/S File No. 71 of 1922-3

Serial No.

15) On the order of 11-9-1922 the pay of the Jamedars and Daffedars is fixed at Rs 15 at Bangalore and mysore and Rs 16 & 14 at other places. The Chief Court while forwarding say: that the pay of Daffedars and Jamedars on the Chief Court which is the highest Court should be higher than those of the lower courts and that the present scale of Rs. 21, 18, 17 & 15 may be retained.

16) The Chief Court are not in favour of reducing the present strength of the menial establishment and they say that no reduction is possible without disproportionate inconvenience and practical difficulties

17) According to the revised scale for peons and Daffedars and Jamedars, the cost of the menial establishment without any reduction comes to a net increase of Rs 237/- p.m or Rs 2844 per annum as suggested by the C. in Section, after the reduction of 10 peons the net increase comes to Rs 132/- or Rs 1584. The difference of cost after reduction and without reduction is Rs 1260/- per annum.

Vide Registrar's letter of 8-9-22 (Para 4)

Serial No.

It is a point for consideration whether the savings of Rs 1260/- p. annum after reduction of establishment is sufficiently inconvenient and practically different as suggested by the Chief Court submitted for orders.

18) The Proposition Statt has perhaps for be verified by the Comptroller 8/1911/11

19) So far as the menial staff of the Chief Court is concerned, the reduction proposed in the Preliminary Statt mainly affects the no. of peons allowed for the Registrar & Dy Regr. The former has at present 2 men in office & 4 at his residence, while the latter has one in office none at his residence. Against the total number of 8 peons thus allowed for the 2 Offices at present, only 2 are proposed for the Regr in the Preliminary Statt & none for the Dy Regr. The no. of peons on duty with the Judges is not affected by the new proposals

AMR 1911

20) It does not appear desirable to treat the Chief Court as an exception to the general rule, for such a course would create an inconvenient precedent which may induce other Heads of Depts to make similar

Serial No.

representations. The recruitment, so far as it affects the Registrar, who has 3 years more than admissible, cannot be objected to, as no differential treatment can be meted out to him. As regards the posts for Office work, the Chief Court may perhaps try with the reduced establishment & if, by experience it is found that it is absolutely impossible to cope with the work, a separate reference may be made for an increase. Allowing a post for the Dy Registrar, the number to be retrenched may be taken as 7.

24). The Govt order dated 16th last year lays down the scale of pay of the Duffedars & Jamedars as Rs 15 & 17 at Bangalore & Mysore & Rs 14 & 16 in other places. The contention of the Chief Court that the Jamedar & Duffedars attached to it should receive the pay they are actually drawing at present, which is very much higher than that admissible as per above G.O. in consideration of the fact that being attached to the highest Court in the State, they should be treated favourably as compared with those of the Subordinate Courts, does not appear to be sound in principle. No other deputations for enhancement the Revenue Department

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

293/P

No. File No. 71 of 1922

Serial No.

(v) In instance, the Revenue Commissioner may with equal than & reason, contend that the Jamedar & Daffedar in his office should receive very much higher pay than those attached either to the D.C's office or to the Sub-Division Office. But in consideration & the fact that the Jamedar & the 3 Daffedars & the Cutcherry Cant are drawing higher pay, they may perhaps be allowed to draw the same, as otherwise they would be subjected to a sacrifice in their present emoluments. The rates as fixed in the G.O. may be made applicable in the case & future incumbents.

(vi) In this connection, I may point out, that in the G.O. & Sept 22, the pay & pears has been fixed at Rs 13, 12 & 11 at Bangalore & Mysore & Rs 12, 11 & 10 at other places. but what determines the rate & pay which a menial should get, has not been laid down. If it is left to the arbitrary discretion & the H.O. & Dept or Office to give the menial any one & the rates & pay fixed above, then, there will be no uniformity in the procedure adopted in the various departments. It appears desirable to lay down a particular length & service as an essential condition to get a higher scale & pay.

(vii) After orders are passed on the points raised in the notes, the proposition statement will be sent to the Comptroller for verification

Sen Secy

2293/P

9

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 71 of 19 22

Serial No.

Heinders

8658/7.12.22

Second Member

6.12.22

25) The Committee's work between its first Committee and despatch of this letter between 4 to 7 papers including proposals to be delivered pass from one party to the other and demands for bonds & reference from Civil Courts and Judges. The party should have a Committee number & name under their Head & Deptt. They may be given 5 name & 2 Committee numbers in Madras with their concerns & their Civil party who may retain his name number. There may be a certain limit & two numbers in their numbers despatched for Committee work. Numbers used for separate Committee numbers for their Deptt work. The numbers despatched for general Committee work should be quite sufficient to do their office work. One office number & their Dy Co Registrars may also do. They will receive their numbers

2293 / 9

2567/10 / O

G. R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

do File No. 71 of 1922

Serial No.

1) Under the Chief Court's G.O. No. 3 of the Memo it is a fair number which should be supported for all purposes.

2) As regard the pay of the Jamadars & the Duffedars - the Secretariat staff may be compared as regards their pay made in the case of present members drawing higher pay.

3) As regard the number of persons in each grade, suspends & the Chief Court may be invited.

13/12

A S B 14/12/22

5

Filed

2) This may be referred to the Office Branch for submitting the present pay of the Jamadars and Duffedars of the Secretariat and also for submitting whether the scale fixed in G.O. of 11.9.1922 applies to Secretariat,

1) 19/12/22 To the Office Branch

21/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2293
P

T. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CS File No. 71 of 1922-3

Serial No.

30) The pay of jamadars in the Sectt ranges from 23 to 33 and that of daffedars from 18 to 19. In the proposals regarding the reduction of the Sectt menial Estt in accordance with the G.O. of Sept 1922 mentioned above it is proposed to continue the existing scales of pay for daffedars & jamedars and the orders of the Dewan are awaited thereon.

Para 4

MW 30.12.22 Pro. Sec) Bs 30.12.22

MW

31) Submd. with a draft to the Dept concerned. If approved, this will be sent back to the Office branch after issue of the proposed draft to note the sanctioned scale of pay to the Daffedars and jamedars in the Secretariat.

CS 2/1

2.1.23

32) In regard to the pay of peons the chief court have already sent their proposals, vide Paper Statt. If revised proposals have to be called for, the basis on which such revision should be made has to be noticed in the draft as suggested by the Asst Secy in para 23.

Asst 16/1

33) I believe the Eg-H-Section is taking action with regard to the lagging down of the scale of pay of Sanitary peons to the post in a particular grade of pay. Please ascertain & submit

Sup 29/1

to the G.M Section for kindly furnishing the information

Asst 17/1

Serial No.

35) The file relating to the removal & stabt of the Office of the D.S. of Police referred to above has been subt with a draft for orders. May this file be returned to the Br. Br with this information? A copy of the Br order when issued will of course be sent to the Genl Secy.
24/1 25/1/23

36) A draft Order about the above was submitted to Ch: Secy - [illegible]. Order will issue as soon as it is appd. - A copy will be sent to the Branch.

To Proms Br

25/1

Filed

37) Re receipt of the Br. referred to may be awaited

38) 25/1/23

30.1.23
31/1

25/1/2

13 (1)

G. R. S. III—3]

05 71-22-3

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

2993/7.4.

D. 4951/P.

Letter no: Roc. 389 A/22-23 dt 31-3-23 from the Dy R chief Court-explaining the circumstances requesting sanction for the revision of the scales pay of the medical staff of the Jud Dept as stated with his letter no Roc. 389 dt 23.10.22 - under the circumstances stated in the letter - and also with refce to the G.O. dt 14-3-23 issued on the subject.

* This file is seen and returned by with the Member's orders.
7/4
1/4

Submd Remarks file is worked up & submd & marked to Secretary on 3-4-23. ~~This may be linked to it.~~ A fresh enquiry suggested in that file seems to be unnecessary now. This may be worked up & orders are passed on that file as the pay is guaranteed & confirmed - & this file may be linked to it.

Yes - Cag 5/4

3/4/23
4/4

routine notes be written on small slips the notes must b cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

5/4

177/7.4.23

05 194

163

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W P 1880—GTP—1,50 000—2-51

D. No. 143 25-23

1414

IMMEDIATE

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1923

Dear Sir,

Adverting to the Government Order regarding the retrenchment of the menial establishment in the several offices, I am desired to enquire, whether in anticipation and pending the receipt of final orders of Government on the proposals forwarded by me in -- pursuance of the said Government Order, there is any objection to gradually giving effect to the reductions proposed as suitable opportunities arise, as for -- example by not filling up the places of men who happen to die and retiring men who though not yet 60 and not declared to be physically unfit, have nevertheless, put in the required number of years of service so as to become entitled to pension or gratuity.

An

An early reply is requested.

Yours Sincerely,

To--

K. M a t t h a n, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 71 of 19 22

Serial No.

41) Ref. Memo. of Mr. 14-3-23 issued from the Hon. Section laying down principles of the Grant of higher pay to Viceroy was that Secy. circulate amongst the Revised proposals of the Chiefs will have to be called for in the light of the principles contained therein.

43) Regarding pay of the Duffadars & Jamadars of the Chiefs, the Member has ordered in para (26) that the Secretariat scale be adopted. F.D. No: 0: 3980-5/22. 61-22-19 19-1-23 fixes the rate of pay of the Duffadars @ 18 to 19 Rs & that of Jamadars at Rs 23 to 33. But the Chiefs wants that the existing scale viz Rs 21 for the Jamadar & Rs 18, 17 & 16 be retained. Whether the proposal of the Chiefs be adopted (which is less costly) or the suggestion of the Member followed is a point for order.

44) Draft calling for fresh proposals for approval & orders.

45) Perhaps an affirmative reply approving of the suggestion contained

Yes, Minister

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

2293 P

C5 File No. 71 of 1923

Serial No.

in the D.o. the chief secy may be sent to the mys. if approved.

Secy 2/4/23

4) The chief court may be permitted to adopt the procedure suggested in their letter.

4a) This for orders, if fresh proposals may be called for & which of the rates of pay for Jamadars & Daffadars may be prescribed

163 A 7.4.23

(1st secy) Secy

2nd member) 2/4

4b) The rates of pay suggested by the Chief Commissioner under these heads Retrenchment in the Secretariat may be approved. 7.4

5) As regards the D.O. to be made in which reduction is to be effected, the same procedure as was adopted in the Secretariat may be recommended. Under-pay might suitably be revised 9/4

5) Remarks regarding the reduction have been forwarded to the Secretariat

Secy 10/4

D.O. No: 149
22-23

5287

IMMEDIATE

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated 20th April 1923.

17

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite attention to my D.O. No.143/22-23 dated the 31st March 1923 enquiring if there is any objection to gradually giving effect to the reductions proposed in the menial establishment and to request an early reply thereto.

Yours Sincerely,

Thimmaraja Rajaraja Iyer
20/4/23.

REGISTRAR.

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.

Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Sued with copies
Ans 284

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

293/P 16. 2567/Q 18.

C5 File No. 71 of 1922

Serial No.

5½ Subd to Reg Asst 10/4

55) A reduction of 50 hands ordered by Govt. was worked out as follows :-

(1) Retiring men over 60 years of age -

(2) Retiring men over 55 years of age in cases where 30 years' service had been put in

(3) Retiring men who appeared inefficient sickly and found otherwise unsatisfactory.

(4) Retiring men who elected to retire in connection with the retrenchment -

(5) Discharging men under 2 years' service

Be 11.4.23

Ch. Seer)

ordered 56) Revised draft put up as directed in the numbers mentioned and also incorporating the above in Structions 57) Draft for consideration

Chief Seer) Chief Seer 16/4/23 Asst 20/4 58) Memorandum revised in accordance with draft 25/4

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

5287/10 — File No. of 1922

19

Serial No.

5a) Clean draft laid as directed.
Copy 3/5/23
Asst 3/5 Chief Secy)

Copy 4/5

6a) Record represented for approval, with reference to instructions of 9.4.23 vide memo)

118/4.5.23

6) 4/5/23

6c) Issued 5/5

Copy 4/5

6d) Issued 5/5 Copy 5/5

4 2 The Registrar, Chief Court — No. 10856 G. 7.5.23.

Indicates the lines on which the retrenchment order of the medical staff of the Chief Court is to be given effect to & calls for a proposition Statt in the matter.

From

The Regr Chief Court

Letter no. R.O.C. 389 A dt 9.6.23

forwards a proposition statement in regard to the medical staff of the Chief Court resulting in a net increase of Rs 139/- p.m.

Asst 8/5/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

6030/P

(20)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 'A' File No. 71 of 1922.]

Serial No.

Subd

63) The Chief Court have accounted for the increase in Cost. Under the circumstances, it seems better to reduce the number as suggested without giving any increase of pay to the rest. Their only ~~regret~~ will be possible, some retrenchment. ~~Subd for orders~~

64) In fact this is what is laid down in para 3 of the G.O of 21-5-23, which is the latest order on the subject. Even if this order is enforced the saving will not come to Rs 132 per peon per annum.

65) Please send a copy of this to the Chief Court, and ask for fresh proposals in the light of specific instructions contained in para 3.

66) Subd with a draft. 67) Issue

18/6/23

The Registrar, Chief Court.

With reference to your U.O. No. 11827 of 20.6.23. I am directed to forward a copy of G.O. No. 8892-99/G.A. 42-22-14 of 21-5-23 and request that fresh proposals may be submitted in the light of the above order.

Secy to the Chief Court

Secy A

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

163/P (24

CS File No. 71 of 1922-3

Serial No. 1

From

The Regr Chief Court

Letter no Roc 30 d 6.7.1923

Forwards a revised proportion Statement for the revision of the menial Establishment of the judicial Department as called for.

6d) In the revised proportion statement the saving anticipated for the reduction of each hand is Rs 12 and odd. According to the G.Os of the 21st may 1923, there should be a saving of Rs 132/- per man reduced. The Chief Court have reduced 10 hands on Rs 10/- and increased 5 hands on Rs 11/- besides ~~at~~ reducing 2 peons on Rs 15 & Rs 11/- Instead of reducing 10 hands on Rs 10/- they had reduced the same number on Rs 11/- the reduction anticipated would have been greater. The Regr says that the present proposed scale has been fixed with a view to give scope for reasonable promotion - but for orders

6e) An attempt has been made to carry out the spirit of the G.O.'s as far as possible, and substantial savings are effected.

7) One point however, requires

Z as far as possible

1471/25 Fin 1917

Serial No.

503
18.7.23

pointing out one of the principles enunciated is that the largest number of men should be in the lowest grade, the number decreasing as the grades advance. This has not been adhered to. 15 hands on Rs 11/- are proposed, and only 5 on Rs 10/-.

71) As the existing scale already has 10 men on Rs 11/- their number cannot be reduced. 10 men may therefore be kept on Rs 11/- and 10 on Rs 10/-. Any further reduction in the 11 Rs grade does not appear to be possible, without upsetting the existing scale. With this modification, the proposal may be approved, which would result in an additional savings of Rs 5/- a month.

Chief Secy

cag 18/

May be approved with the modifications proposed above

Secy 19/7

1st Member

cag 17.7

We need not interfere with the proposals of the Chief Secy in such small matters. The proposals may be approved.

Draft cag 24/7

22/7

163
D

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 71 of 1922-23.

Serial No.	
	Submit with a draft — for appl
	Chief Secy 28/7
	29/7/23
	Chief / General } U/S 30/7/23
	Legislative Members
	Draft for Local Govt
907/210	1st Member } Appd 31/7
	Secy 31/7
	7/8/23 Issued 8/8
Fl 313/23	Fl Deptt was not consulted. The revision now effected is as follows
	Reduction in the No. of men { 190 as Existing / 180 as now revised = 10
	Reduction in cost { Rs 2111½ as Existing / Rs 2008½ as revised = Rs 103 per mensem or 1236 per annum
	Subd with Dft Main copies for orders
	Issued 11/8/23
	Bom 11/8/23
	Comptroller No Fl (Gen) 39/13/8/23

G. R. S. III-2]

163

24

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 71 of 19 22

Serial No. 8

To the Supt: Telegraphs
Comptroller Tel: Trial Circle
No. 10-846-7 dt 11.8.23
Launches the retrenchment notation
of the Memorial Supt of the Financial Supplt
& directs that the retrenchment will
have effect from 1.8.23

for Secy
Financial Supplt

D1 Dis Nr 30 17068
22-23

65-11-22-1 1422

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 8th September 1922.

From

A. Srinivasa Raghavachari, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

9 SEP 1922

To

B. Venkoba Rao, Esquire, B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

REVENUE SECRETARIAT
11 SEP 1922

Sir,

* Brief calling for proposals.

Adverting to your letter No.P.23/Cts., dated 4th July 1922, calling for proposals for the reduction of peons in the Chief Court and the subordinate courts in the State, I am directed to state that, on a careful consideration of the requirements of all courts and after reference to the presiding officers concerned, it has not been found possible to suggest any reductions that shall not cause disproportionate inconvenience and unduly hamper the work which the establishments are intended to serve.

2. The menial staff of the Chief Court which, it may be remarked, is considerably below that attached to the Judicial Commissioner's Court in 1868, presided over though it was by a single Judge, stands now at 46 men. This was the strength originally fixed in 1891 and raised to 54 in 1903; and though reduced to 42 in 1908, the number had to be restored to 46 (Government Order No. 514-5/Cts. 19-09-2, dated 19th August 1909) the very next year as it was found impracticable to manage with less. This number includes a dafterband and three mutchis working in the records and the various sectionsof the office, a lascar and a scavanger, and eight peons employed on general office duty in the court which includes among other things delivery of tappals, distribution of files and records in the office, the distribution of cause lists and service of court notices on advocates, and guarding of the court buildings. Six men at two per Judge are in attendance on the Judges in Chambers besides

preserving

7b

preserving order in the court house, when the Judges sit; and eighteen (at one per Judge) are home orderlies. The Registrar has two orderlies in office and four at his residence, and the Deputy Registrar one in office and one at his residence. The attendance of the home orderlies has necessarily to be arranged for in three shifts, the men attending by turns, as it is found by experience to be impossible to secure and retain the services of suitable men who are willing to work in less than three shifts of the existing rates of pay. In judging of the scale of menial establishment required, it must not be overlooked that Bangalore is a city of distances, that the Judges live far off from the court and from each other, and that most of their work other than the actual hearing of cases (including the studying of records, writing of judgments in reserved cases, orders on admission cases, office papers and files, etc.,) has to be done at home and out of regular court hours; that records, references, law reports, etc., have to be constantly taken to and from the court and the Judges' residences, over and above the direct circulation of papers among the Judges themselves; that provision has to be made for Judges going out on tours of inspection, for cases of leave, sick leave, absences from duty, etc., among the men, and the difficulty under existing labour conditions in getting substitutes for short vacancies; and that one of the Chief Judge's men and one of the men employed in the office are also employed as bicycle orderlies.

3. It may be mentioned in this connection that the Chief Justice of the Madras High Court is allowed nine orderlies at his residence and two men at his chambers, or eleven men in all, and that each puisne Judge has similarly the services of five men at his residence, besides an attender and a peon in Chambers; and that in addition there are three peons exclusively detailed for taking out circulation papers daily to Judges' residences, with the additional advantage of being

being supplied with carrier tricycles for the purpose.

4. In view of the circumstances detailed above, it is regretted that no reduction will be possible in the ~~xx~~ number which past and present experience has shewn to be quite the minimum necessary to meet all requirements.

5. Passing on to the Subordinate Courts, I am to point out that the menial establishments of these courts were scrutinized once before and subjected to a more or less drastic reduction in 1906; vide Government Order No.J. 1400-1406/Cts. 103-06-3, dated 27th November 1906. Each Munsiff's Court has at present only four men, one of whom (the gollar) generally does clerical work, such as the writing up of XIV and XV Registers, under the Head Munshi's supervision; the Daffedar acts as court keeper; and there are only two peons (one of whom is the sweeper) left for other duties, a fact which, it is to be feared, not infrequently leads to the employment of process servers on other than their legitimate duties, and points to the need for increasing the existing staff ~~xx~~ of peons. A Subordinate Judge, who is also Assistant Sessions Judge, is allowed only one peon more than the Munsiff. As regards District Judges' Courts, the entire strength of the menial staff consists of ten men comprising a mutchi, a dafterband, a lascar, a musalchi, a sweeper, and one daffedar and four peons for both the court and the presiding officer. This does not appear to be at all an excessive allowance, if it is remembered that no separate police arrangements are made here as in the Madras Presidency, for guarding the court premises and preserving order in public court, and that our District and Sessions Judges have also to go out from time to time on circuit sessions. In addition to the above there ~~are~~ four men (3 dafterbands and one peon) attached to the Bangalore Central Records, three (2 dafterbunds and one peon) to the Mysore Central Records, and one dafterbund and one peon to the Shimoga Central Records. These are,

it

21132

Roc No 389 A

CS 71-11-2293

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 28th October '22

From

A. Srinivasaraghavachari, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Prop
2 - NOV 1922

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.0.2070-G.M.42-22-3 dated 10th October 1922 forwarding preliminary statements in regard to the reduction of the menial establishments of the Judicial Department, and calling for proposals for the final orders of Government together with statements showing the number of peons required and an estimate of the approximate cost on the basis of the revised salaries sanctioned.

2. In the attempt to prepare the information called for the Chief Court, I am to say, is placed at some disadvantage by reason of the fact that, as already pointed out in my letter No.D.1. Dis.30/22-23 dated 8th September 1922 (addressed to the Secretary to Government, General Department) no material reduction of the menial establishments of the Judicial Department is found to be possible without causing disproportionate inconvenience and practical difficulties. If it is not too late, the Chief Court will feel thankful if the matter can be reconsidered in the light of that representation. In order, however, not to delay or retard the disposal of the scheme of reductions contemplated, I am now to submit proposals as desired (together with the necessary proposition statements), on the lines which have been provisionally settled, with this slight modification

that

that the number of peons under reduction in the Chief Court is shown as seven, not eight, since the Deputy Registrar, who is a Gazetted Officer, should have at least one orderly.

3. As regards the proposed pay of the Jamedar and Daffedars under the new scale, I am to state that it seems hardly fair or desirable to treat the Daffedars of the highest Court and those of the lowest courts (viz., those of Munsiffs) alike in the matter of pay and status; and to suggest that the existing scale of pay may be retained in the case of the Jamedar and the three daffedars of the Chief Court, viz., at Rs.21,18, 17 and 16 respectively; the extra cost is not likely to be much.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

-do- Shimoga	4	-	1	3		4	1	1	2	
-do- Sagar	4	1	2	1		4	1	1	2	
-do- Chitaldrug	4	1	1	2		4	1	1	2	
-do- Davangere	4	2	2	-		4	1	1	2	
-do- Chikmagalur	4	1	1	2		4	1	1	2	
-do- Narasimharajpur	4	-	1	3		4	1	1	2	
1st Munsiff, Mysore,	4	1	1	2		4	1	1	2	
2nd -do-	4	1	1	2		4	1	1	2	
Munsiff, Seringapatam	4	3	1	-		4	1	1	2	
-do- Nanjungud	4	1	1	2		4	1	1	2	
-do- Hole-Narsipur	4	2	-	2		4	1	1	2	
-do- Hassan	4	-	2	2		4	1	1	2	
Government Advocate	3	-	1	2		3	1	1	1	
T O T A L	188	34	76	78		178	36	52	90	10

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore,
25th October 1922.

Theemisadappa
REGISTRAR.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE MENIAL ESTABLISHMENT OF THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. 3/

Name of Office	EXISTING					PROPOSED					REMARKS.
	Total number of menials.	Number on duty with officers. in Office	Number on duty with officers. at the residence	Number on office duty	Remarks.	Total number of menials to be retained	Number on duty with officers in office	Number on duty with officers at the residence.	Number on office duty.	Total number of menials to be reduced.	
Chief Court.	46	9	23	14		39	5	22	12		* Includes the Dafturbands attached to the Central Records of the three district courts.
Dist. Judge, Bangalore	*14	2	6	6		13	2	2	9		
-do- Mysore §	*14 (2 Heluvangas temporary)	3	6	5		13	2	2	9		§ In addition there are 2 gardeners attached to the District Court, Mysore.
-do- Shimoga	*12	1	5	6		11	2	2	7		
Sub Judge, Bangalore	5	-	3	2		5	1	1	3		
-do- Mysore	5	1	3	1		5	1	1	3		
-do- Shimoga	5	-	2	3		5	1	1	3		
First Munsiff, Bangalore	4	1	1	2		4	1	1	2		
Second -do-	4	-	2	2		4	1	1	2		
Munsiff, Doddballapur	4	-	1	3		4	1	1	2		
-do- Kolar	4	-	1	3		4	1	1	2		
-do- Kolar Gold Fields	4	1	1	2		4	1	1	2		
1st Munsiff, Tumkur.	4	-	2	2		4	1	1	2		
2nd -do-	4	-	2	2		4	1	1	2		
Munsiff, Maddagiri,	4	1	1	2		4	1	1	2		

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Finl. 200

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I and Rule 23 Part I Chap

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITI

Name of Office to which th

1. G.O.No.3542-3/Cts. 318-15-5 dated 26th February 1918.
2. G.O.No.867-8/Cts. 18-16-9 dated 16-7-1918.
3. Fl.C. 6-55/S. & A. 5-21-11 dated 9-10-1921.

Nature of Charge											
Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Present Scale						Proposed Scale					
				Pay						Pay			
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost per month	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
			1. CHIEF COURT.									
		1	Mutchi			23	23	1	Mutchi			23
		1	-do-			20	20	1	-do-			20
		1	-do-			16	16	1	-do-			16
		1	Dafterbund			15	15	1	Dafterbund			15
		1	Jamadar			21	21	1	Jamadar			*17
		1	Daffedar			18	18					
		1	-do-			17	17	5	Daffedars			*15
		1	-do-			16	16					
		2	-do-			15	30					
		1	Lascar			13	13	6	Peons			13
		3	Peons			13	39	9	-do-			12
		6	-do-			12	72	14	-do-			11
		10	-do-			11	110					
		15	-do-			10	150					
		1	Scavanger			11	11					
		46					571	39				
			2. GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE'S OFFICE.									
		1	Daffedar and Mutchi			13	13	1	Daffedar and Mutchi			15
		2	Peons			11	22	1	Peon			13
								1	-do-			12
		3					35	3				

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and sho

Vide para 3 of covering letter.

· II of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

N FOR REVISION OF THE TRIAL ESTABLISHMENT

Proposition relates Judicial Department (18 Courts of Law) 1,2 and 3.

GPB—2,000-3-8-15

Proposition							Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary					Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month		Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Remarks	Remarks	Orders
Average Cost per month										
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
23										
20										
16										
15										
17										
75										
78										
108										
154										
506	65									
15										
13										
12										
40	5									

d be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chap

Finl. 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITI

Name of Office to which th

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay			Average Cost			Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum		No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Max mum
			3. DISTRICT JUDGES' COURTS (3)									
									District Courts at Banga			
		3	Mutchis			15	45	2	Mutchis			15
		3	Dafterbunds			12	36	2	Dufterbunds			12
		3	Daffedars			13	39	2	Daffedars			15
		3	Lascars			10	30	2	Peons			13
		3	Peons			11	33	4	-do-			12
		9	-do-			10	90	6	-do-			11
		3	Musalchis			9	27					
		3	Sweepers			9	27					
									District Court, S			
								1	Mutchi			15
								1	Dafterbund			12
								1	Daffedar			14
								1	Peon			12
								2	Peons			11
								3	-do-			10
		30					327	27				
			CENTRAL RECORD ROOM (3)									
		* 6	Dafterbunds			14	84	*6	Dafterbunds			14
		1	-do- temporary (Mysore)			13	13	1	-do-temporary (Mysore)			13
		3	Peons			10	30	*2	Peons			11
								"1	-do-			10
		10					127	10				
			LAW COURT GARDEN ESTABLISHMENT, MYSORE.									
		2	Gardeners			11	22	2	Gardeners			11
			Water cart			25½	25½		Water cart			25½
		2					47½	2				

G. O. No. J. 469-70/Cts. 270-13-3 dated 5th August 1915.

G.O.No.G.13610-4/G.M. 178-16-41 dated 11th December 1919.

* 3 in Bangalore
2 in Mysore
1 in Shimoga.

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and shou

*One for Bangalore and Mysore each.

" For Shimo

II of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

33

N FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

Proposition relates.....

GPB—2,000—3-8-15

Average Cost	Proposition: Permanent: Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Permanent: Decrease per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Amount	Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government: Department concerned: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Orders
re and Mysore.											
30											
24											
30											
26											
48											
66											
mega.											
15											
12											
14											
12											
22											
30											
329	2										
84											
13											
22											
10											
129	2										
22											
25½											
47½											

d be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapte

Finl. 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITIC

Name of Office to which the

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
			SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS (3)									
									Subordinate Courts of Banga			
								2	Mutchis			13
		3	Mutchis			13	39	2	Daffedars			15
		3	Daffedars			12	36	2	Peons			13
								2	-do-			12
		6	Peons			10	60	2	-do-			11
		3	Sweepers			9	27					
									Subordinate Court, Sh			
								1	Mutchi			13
								1	Daffedar			14
								1	Peon			12
								1	-do-			11
								1	-do-			10
		15					162	15				

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate, and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and shou

II of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

34

FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

roposition relates.....

GPB—2,000-3-8-15

Proposition								Orders of Government			
	Permanent		Temporary					Department concerned	Financial Department		
Average Cost	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month		Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Remarks	Remarks	Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
ore and Mysore.											
26											
30											
26											
24											
22											
oga.											
13											
14											
12											
11											
10											
188	26										26

d be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Finl. 200J

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the H

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale					Proposed Scale				
				Pay					Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
			MUNSIFFS' COURTS (20) PLUS ONE TEMPORARY.									
									Munsiffs' Courts of Bangalo			
		1	Daffedar			12	12					
		19	Daffedars			11	209	4	Daffedars			15
		20	Peons			10	200	4	Gollars			13
		20	Gollars			10	200	4	Peons			12
		20	Sweepers			9	180	4	-do-			11
			K.G.F. allowance				4					
			Temporary Court.						Other Munsiffs' Courts (17			
		1	Daffedar			11	11	17	Daffedars			14
		1	Peon			10	10	17	Gollars			12
		1	Gollar			10	10	17	Peons			11
		1	Ferash			9	9	17	-do-			10
									K.G.F. allowance			
		84					845	84				
									T o t a l.....			
									Net increase of cost per m			
									-do-			a

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and shou

TMN/

I of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

35

FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

roposition relates.....

GPB—2,000 - 3-8-15

Average Cost	Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
	Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					

e and "scre (4)

60
52
48
44

cluding the temporary Court).

238
204
187
170
4

007 162

.... 197 65

nth.. 132

num..132 X 12, i.e. 1,584.

REGISTRAR.

be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

2693 / 35641 2293 P. (Genl.) 3/4/23

36 4951 P

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1923.

Rox No. 389A/23

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

1928
1923

APR 1923

JUDICIAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT
3 APR 1923
JUDICIAL

To

K. M A T T H A N, ESQ., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore;
B a n g a l o r e.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Order No.G.4520-79/ G.M. 42-22-13 dated 14th March 1923 ~~43.~~ 2nd higher rates of pay sanctioned to the menial staff and in continuation of my letter No.R.O.C. 389A/22-23 dated 23rd October/~~20th~~ 2nd November 1922 forwarding preliminary proposition statement for revision of the menial establishment of the Judicial Department, I am directed to state as follows :--

As far as the menial establishment of the Chief Court is concerned, the number of men proposed to be placed on rates of pay higher than the minimum is not unduly excessive, having regard to the principle of Government enunciated in the last portion of para 2 of the Government Order, viz., that the number should provided for a reasonable flow of promotions. It will be seen from the statement that the bulk of the men viz., 14, have been placed in the lowest class on the minimum salary. The net result has been a saving of Rs.65/- per month instead of Rs.77/- which might be anticipated as probable at Rs.11/- per head for a reduction involving seven men. As the difference viz. Rs.12/- is not large, I am to suggest that no further modification seems necessary so far as the Chief Court establishment is concerned.

As regards the establishments of the courts of the District and Sessions Judges, the reduction contemplated in the statement forwarded with your letter No.C.2070/P.M. 42-22-3 dated the 10th October 1922 is only one per court. Owing to the minimum pay of the last class of peons being raised to Rs.11 in Bangalore and Mysore and Rs.10 in Shimoga as against Rs.9 according to the present scale, it is not possible to obtain such a large saving as Rs.132 per annum per court. It will be seen from the proposition statement that the largest number viz. 3 in each court, have been placed in the lowest class, as against two men in the next higher, and one man in the highest grade. As regards the peons in the Central Record Rooms, all of them have been placed in the lowest class.

I am to observe that the Government Order dated 14th March 1913 above referred to, does not appear to apply to the office of the Government Advocate, the Courts of the Subordinate Judges and Munsiffs as no reduction is contemplated in the strength of their menial staff. On the other hand, owing to the minimum pay of the last class being raised to Rs.10 and 11, the total cost will be increased, so as to more than counterbalance the savings anticipated in the Chief Court establishment as a result of the retrenchment.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

MCV/

Fair Copy

38

Let's 71-22-4

P 1065 6

9.7.5
23

To

The Registrar of Chief Court.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 143/22-23 dated 31.3.23 in the matter of the reduction in the number and revision of the scale of pay of the menial establishment of the Judicial Department, I am directed to state that Govt. consider it sufficient to allow 5 house and 2 Court orderlies to each of the Puisne Judges, and 6 home and 2 office orderlies as at present to the Chief Judge. The number of orderlies deputed for office duty now may be reduced by 2 as suggested, and no separate Court orderlies need be allowed to the Registrar and the Deputy Registrar, as the number deputed for general court work should be sufficient to attend on them also. These proposals reduce the number of menials of the Chief Court to 39 which, Govt. think, should be enough for all purposes.

As regards the Subordinate Courts, the proposals included in the statement accompanying your letter No.R.O.C. 389 A. dated 28.10.22 may stand, except that the number of ~~persons~~ heads in the District courts should be reduced by one in each court.

With reference to your enquiry whether there is any objection to the reduction proposed being gradually given effect to, I am to state that the Govt. desire that the full savings consequent on the retrenchment should be secured as early as possible

IMMEDIATE

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 9th June 1923.

Telegraphic Address. } "JUSTICE"

Roc No. 3894

42217

6030

FROM. SECY.

11 JUN 1923

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of the G.O. No.G.4520-79/G.M. 42-22-13 of the 14th March 1923 issuing instructions re: the grant of higher rates of pay sanctioned to the menial staff and of your letter No.P. 10656/ Cts. 70-22-4 dated the 7th May 1923 on the same subject, and to forward herewith for sanction a fresh proposition statement in duplicate, as required in paragraph 2 of your letter, revised in the light of instructions contained in the letter and the Government Order refferred to above and also to invite attention tc the foot-notes appended to the proposition statement.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,
Sreenivasaragl
9/6/23
R e g i s t r a r.

Finance and Account Form 11

Finl. 200].

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter II of t

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION F

Name of Office to which the Propo

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay			Average Cost			Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum		No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
									1. CHIEF COURT.			
1:3542-3/ch 8-15-57	26/2/18	1	Mutchi	..	..	23	23	1	Mutchi	..	..	23
867-8/CH18-6-9	16/7	1	-do-	..	..	20	20	1	-do-	..	..	20
Govt. Sec 6-55/SPA 5-21-11.	9/10/21	1	-do-	..	..	16	16	1	-do-	..	..	16
		1	Dafterbund	..	..	15	15	1	Dafterband	..	..	15
		1	Jamadar	..	..	21	21	1	Jamadar	..	..	21
		1	Daffedar	..	..	18	18	1	Daffedar	..	..	18
		1	-do-	..	..	17	17	1	-do-	..	..	17
		1	-do-	..	..	16	16	1	-do-	..	..	16
		2	-do-	..	..	15	30	2	-do-	..	..	15
		1	Lascar	..	..	13	13	5	Peons	..	..	13
		3	Peons	..	..	13	39	8	-do-	..	..	12
		6	-do-	..	..	12	72	16	-do-	..	..	11
		10	-do-	..	..	11	110					
		15	-do-	..	..	10	150					
		1	Scavanger & sweeper	..	..	11	11					
		46					571	39				
									2. GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE'			
		1	Daffedar & Mutchi	..	..	13	13	1	Daffedar & Mutchi	..	..	13
		2	Peons	..	..	11	22	1	Peon	..	..	13
								1	-do-	..	..	12
		3					35	3				
									3. DISTRICT JUDGES' COURTS (BANG			
N.J469-70 ch 5 8/15-270-13-3.		8	Mutchis	..	..	15	45					
		3	Dafterbands	..	..	12	36					
		3	Daffedars	..	..	13	39	2	Mutchis	..	..	15
		3	Lascars	..	..	10	30	2	Dafterbands	..	..	12
		3	Peons	..	..	11	33	2	Daffedars	..	..	13
		9	-do-	..	..	10	90	2	Peons	..	..	11
		3	Masalchis	..	..	9	27	4	-do-	..	..	10
		3	Sweepers	..	..	9	27	6	-do-	..	..	9
									District Court,			
								1	Mutchi	..	..	15
								1	Dafterband	..	..	12

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and sh

* The existing scale of pay is retained as approved by Govt. and communicated with chief

© The number of posts in different grades of pay is fixed in accordance with the instructions provide for a reasonable flow of promotion.

Financia' Rules circ'ar wi h G. O. No. F. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

THE LENIAL

R REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

ion relates . ..Judicial Department-18 Courts of Law, 1, 2 & 3

WD 715—GPB—5,000—8-10-21

Proposition								Orders of Government		
	Permanent		Temporary					Department concerned	Financial Department	
Average Cost	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month		Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Remarks	Remarks	Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
23											
20											
16											
15											
21											
18											
17											
16											
30											
65											
96											
176											
513		(58									
OFFICE.											
15											
13											
12											
40	5										
ALORE, MYSORE & SHIMOGA.											
Bangalore and Mysore.											
30											
24											
30											
26											
48											
66											
Shimoga.											
15											
12											

uld be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

ega letter No. P 10656/ets 71-22-6 dated the 7th March 1923.
Laid down in paragraph 2 of G.O. No. G 4520-79/G. dated 42-22-13 & 14th March 1923 as under

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Fin[illegible] 2001

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter I

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITIO

Name of Office to which the P

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge												
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale						
				Pay						Pay				
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	M		
								1	Daffedar	..	..			
								1	Peon	..	..			
								2	Peons	..	..			
								3	-do-	..	..			
		30					327 27							
									CENTRAL RECORD ROOMS (2)					
		6	Dafterbands	..	..		14 84	6	Dafterbands	..	..			
		1	-do- (temporary, Mysore)	..	..		13 13	1	-do- (temporary, Mysore)	..	..			
		3	Peons	..	..		10 30	2	Peons (one for B'lore & one for Mysore)	..	..			
								1	-do- (for Shimoga)	..	..			
		10					127 10							
No. G. 13610-4/ 2 m 178-16-4. N 12/19									LAW COURT GARDEN ESTABLISHMENT.					
		2	Gardeners	..			11 22	2	Gardeners	..	..			
			Watercart	..			22½ 22½		Watercart	..	..			
		2					44½ 2							
									SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS (2)					
		3	Mutchis	..			13 39		Sub Judges' Courts, Bo					
		3	Daffedars	..			12 36							
		6	Peons	..			10 60	2	Mutchis	..	..			
		3	Sweepers	..			9 27	2	Daffedars	..	..			
								2	Peons	..	..			
								2	-do-	..	..			
								2	-do-	..	..			
									Sub Judge's Court					
								1	Mutchi	..	..			
								1	Daffedar	..	..			
								1	Peon	..	..			
								1	-do-	..	..			
								1	-do-	..	..			
		75					162 15							

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and s

⊙ 3 in Bangalore
2 in Mysore
1 in Shimoga

⊙ The number of hands in different grades of pay is fix
42-22-13 dated 14th March 1923. So as to prev

the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No F. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903) 42

FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

osition relates .....

WD 718—GPB—5,000—8-10-21

	Proposition							Orders of Government		
	Permanent		Temporary					Department concerned	Financial Department	
Average Cost	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month		Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Remarks	Remarks	Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
4 14											
2 12											
1 22											
0 30											
329	2										
4 84											
3 ..											
1 22											
0 10											
129	2										
1 22											
2½ 22½											
44½											
galore and Mysore.											
3 26											
5 30											
3 26											
2 24											
1 22											
Shimoga.											
3 13											
4 14											
2 12											
1 11											
0 10											
188	26										

ould be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

in accordance with the instructions laid down in paragraph 2 of G.O.No.G.4520-29.9.21

for a reasonable fleecy monsoon

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Finl. 200

Vide Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter II of the F

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION FOR

Name of Office to which the Propositi

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale					Proposed Scale					A
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
									(20) MUNSIFFS' COURTS.			
		1	Daffedar			12	12		Munsiffs' Courts of Bangal			
		19	Daffedars			11	209					
		20	Peons			10	200	4	Daffedars			15
		20	Gollars			10	200	○ 4	Gollars			13
		20	Sweepers			9	180	[4	Peons			12
			K.G.F. allowance ..				4	[4	-do-			11
			Temporary Court (Tumkur)						Other Munsiffs' Courts (17			
		1	Daffedar			11	11	17	Daffedars			14
		1	Peon			10	10	○ 17	Gollars			12
		1	Gollar			10	10	[17	Peons			11
		1	Sweeper			9	9	[17	-do-			10
									K.G.F. allowance ..			
		84					845	84				
									Total ..			
									Net increase of cost per m			
									-do- per a			

N.B. It is not possible to show an average savings of Rs. 1
In the Judicial Department, only 10 men have been red
7 hands in the Chief Court shows a savings of 82 Rs.
per annum, no savings can possibly be shown as due to
trict Courts as the increase of pay to the menials an
grade of peons so as to admit of a reasonable flow of
creased the total cost.

○ The number of posts in different grades of pay is fixed in acc
42-22-10 dated 14th March 1923. So as to provide for

Chief Commissioner
Bangalore 9th June 1923

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and sho

ancial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fi. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

43

REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

relates .....

WD 715—GPB—5,000—8-10-21

Proposition							Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary					Department concerned	Financial Department	
rage ost	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Remarks	Remarks	Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period / Amount	Period / Amount					

ore and Mysore.

60
52
48
44

including the temporary court)

238
204
187
170
4

1007 162

137 58

nth .. 139
num 139 x 12 Rs. 1,668.

? per annum, per man reduced.
ced. Though the reduction of
er man per month, or Rs. 99-3-7
reduction of hands in the Dis-
the fixing of the number in each
promotions, have necessarily in-

e done with the instructions laid down in paragraph 2 of G.O.N°. G. 4520-79/ G. M
reasonable flow of promotion

Registrar

ld be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter II of the Financial

Finl. 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION FOR REV

Name of Office to which the Proposition rela

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale					Proposed Scale					
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost
									1. CHIEF COURT				
N.3542-3/46 318-15-5	26 2 18	1	Mutchi	..	..	23	23	1	Mutchi	..	..	23	23
r-867-8/45 18-16-9	16 7 19	1	-do-	..	..	20	20	1	-do-	..	..	20	20
		1	-do-	..	..	16	16	1	-do-	..	..	16	16
) JC C 6-5-5/5+9 -21-11	9 10 21	1	Dafterbund	..	..	15	15	1	Dafterband	..	..	15	15
		1	Jamadar	..	..	21	21	1	Jamadar	..	..	21	21
		1	Daffedar	..	..	18	18	1	Daffedar	..	..	18	18
		1	-do-	..	..	17	17	1	-do-	..	..	17	17
		1	-do-	..	..	16	16	1	-do-	..	..	16	16
		2	-do-	..	..	15	30	2	-do-	..	..	15	30
		1	Lascar	..	..	13	13	5	Peons	..	..	13	65
		3	Peons	..	..	13	39	8	-do-	..	..	12	96
		6	-do-	..	..	12	72	16	-do-	..	..	11	176
		10	-do-	..	..	11	110						
		15	-do-	..	..	10	150						
		1	Scavanger & sweeper	..	..	11	11						
		46					571	39					513
									2. GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE'S OFFIC				
		1	Daffedar & Mutchi	..		13	13	1	Daffedar & Mutchi	..	..	15	15
		2	Peons	..		11	22	1	Peon	..	..	13	13
								1	-do-	..	..	12	12
		3					35	3					40
									3. DISTRICT JUDGES' COURTS (BANGALORE,				
N-J469-70 c5 270-13-3	5 8 13	3	Mutchis	..	..	15	45		District Courts, Bango				
		3	Dafterbands	..	..	12	36						
		3	Daffedars	..	..	13	39	2	Mutchis	..	..	15	30
		3	Lascars			10	30	2	Dafterbands	..	..	12	24
		3	Peons			11	33	2	Daffedars	..	..	15	30
		9	-do-			10	90	2	Peons	..	..	13	26
		3	Masalchis			9	27	4	-do-	..	..	12	48
		3	Sweepers			9	27	6	-do-	..	..	11	66
									District Court, Shimog				
								1	Mutchi	..	..	15	15
								1	Dafterband	..	..	12	12

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and should be

x. The existing scale of pay is retained as approved by Government communicated with Chief Secretary

o The number of posts in different grades of pay is fixed in accordance with the instructions issued and co

& provide for a reasonable flow of promotion

Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

46

THE MENIAL
SION OF/ESTABLISHMENT

es ..Judicial Department-18 Courts of Law, 1, 2 & 3

WD 718—GPB—5,000—8-10-21

Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comp troller's Report	Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					

58

5

MYSORE & SHIMOGA)

ore and Mysore.

followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

vide letter No. P 1065/Ch 71-22-4 dated the 7th May 1923
shown in paragraph 2 of G.O. No. G 4520-71/S.M. 42-22-13 dated the 16th March 1923 as

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Finl. 200]

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter II of the Fina

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION FOR

Name of Office to which the Proposition

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge											
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale					
				Pay						Pay			A
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	
								1	Daffedar	..	..	14	
								1	Peon	..	..	12	
								2	Peons	..	..	11	
								3	-do-	..	..	10	
							327	27					
		30											
									CENTRAL RECORD ROOMS (2)				
		6	Dafterbands	..	..	14	84	6	Dafterbands	..	..	14	
		1	-do- (temporary, Mysore)	..	..	13	13	1	-do- (temporary, Mysore)	..	..	13	
		3	Peons	..	..	10	30	2	Peons (one for B'lore & one for Mysore)	..	..	11	
								1	-do- (for Shimoga)	..	..	10	
							127	10					
		10											
									LAW COURT GARDEN ESTABLISHMENT.				
G.O. G 13610-4/ Fin. 178-16-41	11/12/19	2	Gardeners	..	..	11	22	2	Gardeners	..	..	1	
			Watercart	..	..	22½	22½		Watercart	..	..	2	
							44½	2					
		2											
									SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS (2)				
									Sub Judges' Courts, Ba				
		3	Mutchis	..	..	13	39						
		3	Daffedars	..	..	12	36						
		6	Peons	..	..	10	60	2	Mutchis	..	..		
		3	Sweepers	..	..	9	27	2	Daffedars	..	..		
								2	Peons	..	..		
								2	-do-	..	..		
								2	-do-	..	..		
									Sub Judge's Court				
								1	Mutchi	..	..		
								1	Daffedar	..	..		
								1	Peon	..	..		
								1	-do-	..	..		
								1	-do-	..	..		
								162	15				
		15											

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore

① 3 in Bangalore
2 in Mysore
1 in Shimoga

② The number of posts in different grades of pay is t
G.O. No. 54520-79/Fin. 42-22-22-13 dated 14th ma

ncial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

45

REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

relates .....

WD 718—GPB—5,000—8-10-21

erage Cost	Proposition: Permanent: Increase per month	Proposition: Permanent: Decrease per month	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month		Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month		Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government: Department concerned: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
14											
12											
22											
30											
329	2										
84											
..											
22											
10											
129	2										
22											
22½											
44½											
Bellore and Mysore.											
26											
30											
26											
24											
22											
Shimoga.											
13											
14											
12											
11											
10											
188	26										

should be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure,
ed in accordance with the instructions laid down in paragraph 2
1923 so as to provide for a reasonable flow of promotion.

Rajahmundry

ance and
Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter

Finl. 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the P

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay			Average Cost			Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum		No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
								(20)	MUNSIFFS' COURTS.			
		1	Daffedar	..	..	12	12		Munsiffs' Courts of Bangal			
		19	Daffedars	..	..	11	209					
		20	Peons	..	..	10	200	4	Daffedars	..	..	15
		20	Gollars	..	..	10	200	⊖ 4	Gollars	..	..	13
		20	Sweepers	..	..	9	180	4	Peons	..	..	12
			K.G.F. allowance	..			4	4	-do-	..	..	11
			Temporary Court (Tumkur)						Other Munsiffs' Courts (17			
		1	Daffedar	..	..	11	11	17	Daffedars	..	..	14
		1	Peon	..	..	10	10	17	Gollars	..	..	12
		1	Gollar	..	..	10	10	⊖ 17	Peons	..	..	11
		1	Sweeper	..	..	9	9	17	-do-	..	..	10
									K.G.F. allowance	..		
		84					845	84				
									Total ..			
									Net increase of cost per mo			
									-do- per an			

N.B. It is not possible to show an average savings of Rs.13
In the Judicial Department, only 10 men have been redu
7 hands in the Chief Court shows a savings of 82½ Rs. p
per annum, no savings can possibly be shown as due to
trict Courts as the increase of pay to the menials and
grade of peons so as to admit of a reasonable flow of
creased the total cost.

⊖ The number of posts in different grades of pay is fixed in accordanc
16th March 1923, so as to provide for a reasonable flow of promoti

Chief Court of Mysore
Bangalore 9-6-1923

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and shou

of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No Fl. 1150—1192, d ted 7-2-1903)

FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

46

position relates.....

WD 055—GPB—2,000—24-10-16

erage ost	Proposition: Permanent: Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Permanent: Decrease per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Amount	Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government: Department concerned: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Orders

re and Mysore.

60
52
48
44

cluding the temporary court)

238
204
187
170
4

007 162

.. 197 58

th .. 139
um 139 x 12 Rs.1,668.

per annum, per man reduced.
ed. Though the reduction of
r man per month, or Rs. 99-3-7
eduction of hands in the Dis-
the fixing of the number in each
romotions, have necessarily in-

with the instructions laid down in paragraphs 2 of G.O.Nos. G.4520-79/Gm.42-22-10 dated

Theminakapuram 9/6/23
Registrar

d be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

117

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 6630/P

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 20/6

Signed by M. C.A.C.

Section Cts

File No. 71-22-

Serial No. 6.

No. P.11627(1)

To The Registrar, High Court

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no Roc 389-A dt 9.6.1923 forwarding a proposition Nalem in duplicate re the reduction and revision of menial establishment of the Judicial Department, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of G.M. no. & 8892-9 G.M. 42-22-14 dt 21.5.192

over.

4

and to request you to
Kindly forward fresh
proposals in the light of
Specific instructions contained
in para (3) thereof. An early
reply is requested.

I have etc
Sd/-
For Chief Secy

8.6.23
Sd/-
18.6

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Immediate.

36 163 P

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1923.

49

Roc. No. 30 & 31 / 23-24

605

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

TO

8 JUL 1923

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to Government, Bangalore.

SIR,

JUDICIAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT 10 JUL 1923

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. P. 10627. Cts. 71-22-6 dated the 20th June 1923, forwarding a copy of official memorandum No. K. 8892-99/G.M. 42-22-14 dated 21st May 1923, and requesting to forward fresh proposals for revision of the menial establishment of the Judicial Department, in the light of the instructions contained in para 3 thereof.

2. In reply I am to forward herewith a revised proposition/Statement in duplicate, from which it will be seen, that the services of 7 men in the Chief Court and 3 men in the District Courts, have been dispensed with, and a net savings of Rs. 103/- per month (being over Rs. 10 per man) has been assured, in spite of the retention of the existing scale of pay for the Jemadar and Duffedars of the Chief Court, with the approval of Government conveyed in your letter No. P. 10656/Cts. 71-22-4 of the 7th May 1923.

3. I am to add that the number of men in the higher grades in the Chief Court has been fixed, not only so as to ensure a reasonable flow of promotion but also with due regard to

efficiency

efficiency, and that the reduction in the number of men (viz. 7 in the Chief Court and 3 in the District Courts) has been given effect to from the 1st of this month, by the retirement of superannuated or inefficient men etc.,

4. The existing scale of pay of the menials in the office of the Government Advocate and in the Subordinate Civil Courts, has been retained since any increase in the present cost is not permissible, under the revised instructions of Government.

5. I am to request that the Government may be pleased to accord their sanction very early to the revised proposals, with a view to their introduction from the 1st July 1923.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

1kv.

51

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 163.

Section C

File No. M 22

Serial No. 8

General and Revenue Secretariat

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

( )

, *the* *192* .

Date of issue 11/8/23

Signed by.....

Read—

G.O. no. G 1629 92 / G. M. 1.2.22-1 dt 11.9.1922 passing orders on the recommendations of the Special Finance Committee regarding the reduction and revision of the Menial establishments in the various post offices in the State. &c

2. G.O. no. G. 5520-79/ Fin 42-22-13 dt the 16th March 1923 issuing additional instructions for the revision and reduction of the pay of the menial establishment and stating that as far as possible an average pay of Rs 13 4- per man reduced should be obtained. ~~and~~

3. Correspondence ending with letter no. Roc 30 dt 6.7.1923 from the Registrar, Cheluf Court

May this be

i Printed ? ✓

ii treated as a standing order ? 3 2

~~iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?~~

~~iv translated ?~~

~~v placed at the disposal of the press ?~~

~~vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?~~

~~Order Thereon No.~~

S. O. 1

Government of his Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 846-7—Cts. 71-22-8, dated 11th August 1923.

Menial Establishment.

Sanctions the reduction and revision of the pay of the —— of the Judicial Department.

READ—

Government Order No. O. 1629-92—G. M. 42-22-1, dated the 11th September 1922 passing orders on the recommendations of the Special Finance Committee regarding the reduction and revision of the Menial Establishments in the various Government Offices in the State.

2. Government Order No. G. 4520-79—G. M. 42-22-13, dated the 14th March 1923 issuing additional instructions for the revision of the pay of the menial establishment and stating that as far as possible, an average savings of Rs. 132 per man reduced, should be obtained.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. R. O. C. 30, dated the 6th July 1923 from the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, forwarding a revised proposition statement in duplicate, dispensing with the services of 7 men in the Chief Court and 3 men in the District Courts and showing a net savings of Rs. 103 per month.

ORDER No. P. 846-7—CTS. 71-22-8, DATED 11TH AUGUST 1923.

The reduction in the number of peons and the revision of pay of the menial establishment of the Judicial Department as proposed by the Chief Court in the accompanying proposition statement are approved.

2. The revision will have effect from 1st August 1923.

K. V, ANANTARAMAN,
Secy. to Govt., Genl. Dept. (in charge).

To—The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government, with a proposition statement (through the Financial Secretariat).

Exd.—K. K.

Statement of proposition for revision of the menial establishment.

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment	Nature of charge												Proposition						Grounds of proposition
	Present Scale						Proposed Scale						Permanent		Temporary				
	Number	Designation	Pay: Minimum	Pay: Rate of increase	Pay: Maximum	Average cost	Number	Designation	Pay: Minimum	Pay: Rate of increase	Pay: Maximum	Average cost	Increase per month: Amount	Decrease per month: Amount	Increase per month: Period	Increase per month: Amount	Decrease per month: Period	Decrease per month: Amount	
Number and date																			
		1. Chief Court.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.	
1. No. 3542-3—Cts. 318-15-5, dated 26th February 1918.	1	Mutchi ...	...	...	23	23	1	Mutchi ...	...	...	23	23	...	...	...	...	...	...	
2. No. 867-8—Cts. 18-16-9, dated 16th July 1918	1	Do ...	...	...	20	20	1	Do ...	...	...	20	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	
3. Fl. C. 6-53—S. & A. 5-21-11, dated 9th October 1921.	1	Do ...	...	...	16	16	1	Do ...	...	...	16	16	...	...	...	...	..	..	
	1	Daftarbund ...	...	...	15	15	1	Daftarbund ...	...	...	15	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	1	Jamadar ...	...	...	21	21	1	Jamadar ...	...	...	21	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	*1	Daffedar ...	...	...	18	18	1	Daffedar ...	...	...	18	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	1	Do ...	...	...	17	17	1	Do ...	...	...	17	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	1	Do ...	...	...	16	16	1	Do ...	...	...	16	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	2	Do ...	...	...	15	30	1	Do ...	...	...	15	15	...	15	...	...	...	...	
	1	Lascar ...	...	...	18	18	1	Peon ...	...	...	14	14	1	...	...	...	...	...	
	3	Peons ...	...	...	13	39	3	Do ...	...	...	13	39	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	6	Do ...	...	...	12	72	6	Do ...	...	...	12	72	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	10	Do ...	...	...	11	110	15	Do ...	...	...	11	165	55	...	...	...	...	...	
	15	Do ...	...	...	10	150	5	Do ...	...	...	10	50	...	100	...	...	...	...	
	1	Sweeper and scavengar.	...	...	11	11	...	.....	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	...	...	
	46	Total ...	...	...	...	571	39	Total ...	...	...	...	501	56	126 Net decrease Rs. 70 per month or Rs. 10 per man retrenched	...	...	...	...	
		2. Government Advocate's Office.																	
	1	Daffedar and Mutchi ...	...	...	13	13	1	Daffedar and Mutchi ...	...	...	13	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	2	Peons ...	...	...	11	22	2	Peons ...	...	...	11	22	...	...	...	..	...	...	
	3	Total ...	...	...	...	35	3	Total ...	...	...	...	35	..	...	...	...	...	...	
		3. District Courts (3)													...	...	...	...	
															...	...	...	...	
															...	...	...	...	
No J. 469-70—Cts. 270-13-3, dated 5th August 1915.	3	Mutchis ...	...	..	15	45	3	Mutchis ...	...	...	15	45	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	3	Daftarbunds ...	...	...	12	36	3	Daftarbunds ...	...	...	12	36	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	3	Daffedars ...	...	...	13	39	3	Daffedars ...	...	...	13	39	..	...	...	...	...	...	
	3	Lascars ...	...	...	10	30	3	Lascars ...	...	...	10	30	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	3	Peons ...	...	...	11	33	...	....	...	...	...	...	...	33	...	...	...	...	
	9	Peons ...	..	...	10	90	9	Peons ...	...	...	10	90	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	3	Masalchis ...	...	...	9	27	3	Masalchis ...	...	...	9	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	3	Sweepers ...	...	..	9	27	3	Sweepers ..	...	...	9	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	30	Total ...	...	...	...	327	27	Total ...	...	...	...	294	...	33 Net decrease of Rs. 11 per man retrenched.	...	...	...	...	

Vide letter No. Roc.—30—23-24, dated 6th July 1923.

		4. Central Records (3)																
	†6	Daftarbunds ...	...	...	14	84	*6	Daftarbunds ...	...	...	14	84	...	...	...	...	...	...
	1	Daftarbund (temporary Mysore)	...	...	13	13	1	Daftarbund (temporary, Mysore.)	...	...	13	13	...	...	...	...	...	...
	3	Peons ...	...	...	10	30	3	Peons ...	...	...	10	30	...	...	...	...	...	...
	10	Total ...	...	...	...	127	10	Total ...	...	...	...	127	...	...	...	...	...	...
		5. Law Court Gardens, Mysore.																
	2	Gardeners ...	...	...	11	22	2	Gardeners ...	...	...	11	22	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Watercart ...	...	...	22½	22½		Watercart ...	...	...	22½	22½	...	...	...	...	...	...
	2	Total ...	...	...	...	44½	2	Total ...	...	...	...	44½	...	...	...	...	...	...
		(6) Subordinate Judge's Courts (3)																
	3	Mutchis ...	...	...	13	39	3	Mutchis ...	...	...	13	39	...	...	...	...	...	...
	3	Daffedars ...	...	...	12	36	3	Daffedars ...	...	...	12	36	...	...	...	...	...	...
	6	Peons ...	...	...	10	60	6	Peons ...	...	...	10	60	...	...	...	...	...	...
	3	Sweepers ...	...	...	9	27	3	Sweepers ...	...	...	9	27	...	...	...	...	...	...
	15	Total ...	...	...	...	162	15	Total ...	...	...	...	162	...	...	...	...	...	...
		7. Munsiff's Courts (21) including one temporary Court at Tumkur.																
	1	Daffedar ...	...	...	12	12	1	Daffedar ...	...	...	12	12	...	...	...	...	...	...
	19	Do ...	...	...	11	209	19	Do ...	...	...	11	209	...	...	...	...	...	...
	20	Peons ...	...	...	10	200	20	Peons ...	...	...	10	200	...	...	...	...	...	...
	20	Gollars ...	...	...	10	200	20	Gollars ...	...	...	10	200	...	...	...	...	...	...
	20	Sweepers ...	...	...	9	180	20	Sweepers ...	...	...	9	180	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Kolar Gold Fields Allowance.	...	...	...	4		Kolar Gold Fields Allowance.	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Temporary.						Temporary.										
	1	Daffedar ...	...	...	11	11	1	Daffedar ...	...	...	11	11	...	...	...	...	...	...
	1	Peon ...	...	...	10	10	1	Peon ...	...	...	10	10	...	...	...	...	...	...
	1	Gollar ...	...	...	10	10	1	Gollar ...	...	...	10	10	...	...	...	...	...	...
	1	Sweeper ...	...	...	9	9	1	Sweeper ...	...	...	9	9	...	...	...	...	...	...
	84	Total ...	...	...	...	845	84	Total ...	...	...	...	845	...	...	...	...	...	...
	190	Grand Total ...	...	...	...	2,111½	180	Grand Total ...	...	...	...	2,008½	56	159	...	...	...	...
								Net decrease per month or Rs. 103×12 ...	...	...	...	103	...	...	...	...	...	...
									...	...	...	1,236	...	...	...	...	...	...

*The existing scale of pay is retained as approved by Government and communicated with Chief Secretary's letter No. P: 10656—Cts. 71-22-4, dated 7th May 1923.
† 3 in Bangalore, 2 in Mysore and 1 in Shimoga.

G. 13610-4—G. M. 178-16-41, dated 11th December 1919.

WD 316—GPB—377—23-8-23.